6220 CULEBRA ROAD • POST OFFICE DRAWER 28510 • SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, USA 78228-0510 • (210) 684-5111 • www.swri.org

ISO 9001 Certified ISO 14001 Certified

September 6, 2007

E-MAIL TO JConcialdi@aempower.com

Mr. John Concialdi Advanced Engine Management, Inc. (AEM) Research & Development Division 2205 W. 126th Street, Unit A Hawthorne, CA 90250

This report must be reproduced in full, unless SwRI[®] approves a summary or abridgement.

Subject: Southwest Research Institute[®] (SwRI[®]) Test Report Project No. 08.12717.01.004, "Air Filter Testing"

Reference: Advanced Engine Management Purchase Order Number PO-011288

Dear Mr. Concialdi:

1.0 Introduction and Test Arrangement

This report presents results of airflow resistance, initial and cumulative efficiency, and dust capacity testing conducted on an AEM-215-3059-215/45P and Competitor (4" opening x 9" tall, round) air filter elements provided by AEM for evaluation. Each element is identified in Table 1. Six (6) dust loading tests were accomplished on each element. During the initial and final tests, dust loading was accomplished to a terminal restriction increase of 10 inches of water. During the four (4) intermediate tests, dust loading was accomplished to a terminal restriction increase of 5 inches of water. Each element was cleaned between each test using AEM DRYFLOW Filter Cleaner (P/N 2-1002), to the procedure given on the bottle (except the element was rinsed by hose -inside/out- before washing). Following cleaning, the three non-"dryflow" elements (AFE 72-40035, Airaid 700-470 and K&N RE-0870) were re-oiled using K&N Aerosol Air Filter Oil (P/N 99-0504) to the procedure given on the paper instructions accompanying the oil.

All testing was conducted in accordance with ISO 5011:2000, Inlet air cleaning equipment for internal combustion engines and compressors - Performance testing. Clean element and "after cleaning" airflow resistance measurements were made without upstream ducting, and with a 4" dia downstream piezometer. A 7" dia x 33.5" upstream duct was used during dust loading to provide a control volume for dust feeding. Efficiency and dust capacity testing were conducted at 240 scfm (101.3 kPa, 20°C), using PTI SAE/ISO Fine Test Dust (Batch 5390F) at a concentration of 1.0 g/m³ air (0.028 g/ft³ air). Initial efficiency testing was conducted using Fine dust (at concentration of 0.0057 g/ft^3) for thirty (30) minutes. Particle size data for the test dust is given in Appendix A.



The test sequence for each test was as follows:

- Measure element restriction as a function of airflow rate.
- Measure "initial" efficiency.
- Conduct cumulative efficiency testing while measuring dust capacity to 10 inches of water restriction increase across the element (terminal R = initial R + 10 inches of water).
- Wash and dry element, then conduct cumulative efficiency testing while measuring dust capacity to 5 inches of water restriction increase across the element (terminal R = initial R + 5 inches of water) and conduct post-test airflow resistance measurement.
- After 5th washing, drying and airflow resistance measurement, repeat "initial efficiency" and cumulative efficiency/dust capacity testing to 10 inches of water restriction increase across the element (terminal R = initial R + 10 inches of water).

The elements were inspected before and after each test run.

2.0 Test Results

Test results are given in Tables 1 and 2 and Figures 1 through 10. Table 1 gives clean element restriction, initial and cumulative efficiency, incremental efficiency and dust capacity as a function of the amount of dust retained by the filter elements during each test run. Dust capacities in Table 1 represent the amount of dust retained by the elements in reaching a terminal restriction increase of 10 or 5 inches of water across the test unit, as noted. Table 2 gives initial restriction and restriction after the final washing and drying. Figure 1 shows clean element restriction as a function of airflow rate for each element. Figure 2 shows final "clean" element restriction increase as a function of dust retained by the elements. Figure 3 shows restriction increase as a function of dust retained by the elements during the intermediate dust loading test runs. Figures 5 through 9 show restriction as a function of airflow after each intermediate cleaning, for each filter.

Table 1. Efficiency and Dust Capacity: AEM and Competitor Filter Elements; PTI ISO 12103-1, (Batch 5390F) at a concentration of 1.0 g/m³ air (0.028 g/ft³ air), Except for Initial Efficiency: Fine dust at a concentration of 0.2 g/m³ air (0.0057 g/ft³), for thirty (30) minutes; Airflow Rate: 240 scfm; **Elements Tested in Accordance with ISO 5011 Test Procedures**

| Efficiency, % | AEM 21-3059- 215/45P | AFE 72-40035 | AFE 21-40035 | Airaid 700-470 | K&N RE-0870 | Comments |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|
| $\eta_i(i)$ | 98.14 | 96.86 | 97.39 | 95.77 | 93.73 | * - initial |
| η _o (i) | 99.47 | 98.54 | 96.76 | 97.95 | 95.63 | **/*** - initial |
| | | | | | | After Washing: |
| η_a | 99.38 | 98.50 | 96.90 | 97.38 | 95.43 | **/**** |
| η_b | 99.25 | 98.37 | 96.77 | 96.62 | 95.39 | **/**** |
| η_c | 99.30 | 97.96 | 97.25 | 96.82 | 92.55 | **/**** |
| η_d | 99.29 | 97.87 | 97.19 | 96.58 | 94.64 | **/**** |
| | | | | | | |
| $\eta_i(f)$ | 97.77 | 96.74 | 96.91 | 96.09 | 92.26 | * - final |
| $\eta_o(f)$ | 99.35 | 97.90 | 96.21 | 97.55 | 94.71 | **/*** - final |

Elements tested: July - Aug 2007

* Efficiency =
$$\left[1 - \frac{\text{wt. gain of absolute}}{\text{wt. of dust fed}}\right] \times 100$$

** Efficiency = $\left[\frac{\text{wt. gain of element}}{\text{wt. gain of element} + \text{wt. gain of absolute}}\right] \times 100$

*** Based on dust retained by element after a restriction increase of 10 inches of water, at 240 scfm. **** Based on dust retained by element after a restriction increase of 5 inches of water, at 240 scfm.

| Dust Capacity, g | AEM 21-3059- 215/45P | AFE 72-40035 | AFE 21-40035 | Airaid 700-470 | K&N RE-0870 | Comments |
|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| DC(i) | 249 | 116 | 58 | 117 | 141 | *** (initial) |
| | | | | | | After Washing: |
| DCa | 156 | 76 | 45 | 85 | 112 | **** |
| DCb | 158 | 79 | 45 | 91 | 115 | **** |
| DC _c | 158 | 72 | 44 | 75 | 117 | **** |
| DCd | 163 | 71 | 43 | 77 | 122 | **** |
| | | | | | | |
| DC(f) | 245 | 87 | 58 | 86 | 139 | *** (final) |

Dust retained by element after a restriction increase of 10 inches of water, at 240 scfm. **** Dust retained by element after a restriction increase of 5 inches of water, at 240 scfm.

| Table 2. Initial Restriction and Restriction after the Final Washing and Drying, Inche | es of water |
|--|-------------|
| (Elements Tested in Accordance with ISO 5011 Test Procedures) | |

| | AEM 21-3059- 215/45P | AFE 72-40035 | AFE 21-40035 | Airaid 700-470 | K&N RE-0870 |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Initial Restriction | 1.23 | 1.18 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.83 |
| Restriction after Final Washing and Drying | 1.36 | 1.49 | 0.99 | 1.34 | 0.85 |

Elements tested: July - Aug 2007

No visible manufacturing flaws were noted, and no post-test dust tracking was observed, except for dust spot through the media for the AFE 21-40035 element. These spot were visible on the initial and final test runs, and to a much lesser extent, on the first intermediate test run to 5" of water restriction. Dust spots were not evident on the subsequent three intermediate test runs. The dust spots are illustrated in Figure 10, which are post-test photographs of the final test run. These minor leaks likely explain the decrease in cumulative efficiency, relative to initial efficiency, at the higher dust loading (10" of water restriction). Cumulative efficiency for each of the other filters was higher than initial efficiency, as expected.

The following can be concluded:

- Washing and intermediate dust loading had little effect on dust capacity for all elements. This is clearly shown in Table 1 and Figure 3.
- Initial and cumulative efficiency and dust capacity were notably higher for the AEM filter compared to the competitive filters.
- Initial, cumulative and intermediate efficiencies were relatively stable for each filter.
- Dust capacities for the AEM, AFE 21-40035 and K&N RE-0870 elements did not change appreciably with repeated washing and dust loading.
- Dust capacities for the AFE 72-40035 and Airaid 700-470 elements decreased significantly with repeated washing and dust loading.



| Figure | 1 |
|--------|---|
|--------|---|



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5



Figure 6



Figure 7



Figure 8



Figure 9

Advanced Engine Management, Inc. (AEM) SwRI Project No. 03.12717.01.004



Figure 10. Post-test Photographs of the AFE 21-40035 Element after the Final Test Run

If you have any questions concerning the tests or the results, please do not hesitate to contact me at (210) 522-2626 during normal business hours. For your convenience, our facsimile number is (210) 522-5720 and my e-mail address is <u>mtreuhaft@swri.org</u>. SwRI is pleased to have been of service and we look forward to working with you in the future.

Sincerely, artin & Inester

Martin B. Treuhaft, Manager Filtration & Fine Particle Technology

Approved:

for Ed Owens, Director Fuels & Lubricants Technology Department

/lsr

\\Omaha\eevrd01\03Projects\VehSys\03-MultiClient_FFPT\03-12717-MultiFY07\004 AEM/011288\Final_Concialdi_P011288_20070907.doc

Attachments

APPENDIX A

PARTICLE SIZE DATA FOR PTI ISO 12103-1, A2 FINE TEST DUST (BATCH 5390F)



| | | Volume Statistics (Geometric) | | 5390F.#01 | Cumulative | Numeric | |
|--------------------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Calculations | from 0.8 | 16 µm to 87. | 74 µm | | | worume micron size | less than |
| Volume | | 1.423e9 µ | m ³ | | | 1 | 3.0 |
| Mean: | | 9.028 µm | | S.D.; | 19.7 µm | 2 | 12.2 |
| Median: | | 8.712 µm | ^m Varian | | 386 µm ² | 3 | 20.8 |
| Mean/Median Ratio: | | 1.036 | | | | 4 | 28.3 |
| Mode: | | 5.039 µm | | | | 5 | 35.0 |
| Spec. surf. area: | | 1.317 m ² / | ml | | | 7 | 44.8 |
| . | 10 | 05 | 20 | 75 | | 10 | 53.8 |
| Vo > | 10 10 | 20 | 0 719 | 2 664 | 1 976 | 20 | 70.1 |
| Size him | 42,42 | 24.21 | 0.712 | 3.004 | 1.010 | 40 | 88.6 |
| | | | | | | 80 | 99.8 |
| | | | | | | 120 | 100.00 |

COULTER®

1277

MULTISIZER AccuComp® 1.19

2 MR - 20222 - 2 MR - 1 MM - 2

------ POWDER TECHNOLOGY, INC. ------

1 Aug 2006

| 5390F.#01 | | | | | |
|-----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Channel | Particle | Diff | Cum < | Diff | Cum < |
| Number | Diameter | Number | Number | Volume | Volume |
| | μm | % | % | % | % |
| 9 | 0.816 | 13.41 | 28.76 | 0.812 | 1.21 |
| 14 | 0.897 | 10.70 | 42.17 | 0.861 | 2.03 |
| 19 | 0.986 | 8.78 | 52.87 | 0.937 | 2.89 |
| 24 | 1.083 | 7 22 | 61.64 | 1.02 | 3.82 |
| 29 | 1 190 | 5.84 | 68.86 | 1.10 | 4.85 |
| 34 | 1 308 | 4 78 | 74 70 | 1 10 | 5 95 |
| 39 | 1 438 | 3.89 | 79.48 | 1 29 | 7 14 |
| 44 | 1.580 | 3.22 | 83.36 | 1.41 | 8.42 |
| 49 | 1 736 | 2.64 | 86.58 | 1.54 | 0.42 |
| 54 | 1 908 | 2 16 | 89.21 | 1.67 | 11 37 |
| 59 | 2.096 | 1.76 | 91.37 | 1.80 | 13.04 |
| 64 | 2 304 | 1.46 | 93.13 | 1.99 | 14.84 |
| 69 | 2.531 | 1.18 | 94 59 | 2 14 | 16.83 |
| 74 | 2 782 | 0.962 | 95 77 | 2.31 | 18.97 |
| 79 | 3.057 | 0.751 | 96.73 | 2.39 | 21.28 |
| 84 | 3.359 | 0.578 | 97.48 | 2.44 | 23.67 |
| 89 | 3.691 | 0.464 | 98.06 | 2.60 | 26.11 |
| 94 | 4.056 | 0.366 | 98.52 | 2.72 | 28.70 |
| 99 | 4.457 | 0.288 | 98.89 | 2.84 | 31.43 |
| 104 | 4.898 | 0.218 | 99.18 | 2 85 | 34 27 |
| 109 | 5.382 | 0.163 | 99.40 | 2 83 | 37.12 |
| 114 | 5.915 | 0.119 | 99.56 | 2 73 | 39.96 |
| 119 | 6.500 | 0.086 | 99.68 | 2.63 | 42 69 |
| 124 | 7.142 | 0.064 | 99.77 | 2.59 | 45.32 |
| 129 | 7.849 | 0.046 | 99.83 | 2.46 | 47.91 |
| 134 | 8.625 | 0.031 | 99.87 | 2.20 | 50.37 |
| 139 | 9.478 | 0.023 | 99.91 | 2.18 | 52.57 |
| 144 | 10.41 | 0.017 | 99.93 | 2.11 | 54.75 |
| 149 | 11.44 | 0.013 | 99.95 | 2.10 | 56.87 |
| 154 | 12.58 | 0.010 | 99.96 | 2.13 | 58.96 |
| 159 | 13.82 | 0.008 | 99.97 | 2.21 | 61.09 |
| 164 | 15.19 | 0.006 | 99.98 | 2.27 | 63.30 |
| 169 | 16.69 | 0.005 | 99,98 | 2.34 | 65.57 |
| 174 | 18.34 | 0.004 | 99.99 | 2.41 | 67.91 |
| 179 | 20.15 | 0.003 | 99.99 | 2.50 | 70.32 |
| 184 | 22.15 | 0.002 | 99.99 | 2.56 | 72.82 |
| 189 | 24.34 | 0.002 | 99.99 | 2.59 | 75.38 |
| 194 | 26.74 | 0.001 | 100.00 | 2.57 | 77.97 |
| 199 | 29.39 | 0.001 | 100.00 | 2.51 | 80.54 |
| 204 | 32.29 | 0.001 | 100.00 | 2.46 | 83.06 |
| 209 | 35.49 | 0.0049 | 100.00 | 2.45 | 85.52 |
| 214 | 39.00 | 0.0037 | 100.00 | 2.41 | 87.97 |
| 219 | 42.85 | 0.0024 | 100.00 | 2.13 | 90.38 |
| 224 | 47.09 | 0.0016 | 100.00 | 1.82 | 92.51 |
| 229 | 51.75 | 0.0011 | 100.00 | 1.62 | 94.32 |
| 234 | 56.86 | 6.9E-5 | 100.00 | 1.41 | 95.94 |
| 239 | 62.49 | 4.3E-5 | 100.00 | 1.17 | 97.35 |
| 244 | 68.66 | 2.5E-5 | 100.00 | 0.876 | 98.53 |
| 249 | 75.45 | 1E-5 | 100.00 | 0.480 | 99.40 |